


# yDNA of Colonial American D\*mon(d) Families

## Definition and Comparison of Surviving Paternal Lineages

There are currently over twenty distinct D\*mon(d) surnamed lineages defined by yDNA signatures. Their root origins are Ireland, England, Eastern Europe, and pre Columbian America. Eight of the twenty have been linked to Colonial America.

The D\*mond surname is found in the American colonies beginning in 1608 with John Diamond who was among the members of the failed Popham Colony. By the mid 1700s D\*mond family groupings were large enough to have left a footprint. They can be defined by patriarch and location.



Family historians and researchers had no hope of sorting these groups prior to yDNA testing. The attempts were nearly always wrong as evidenced by nineteenth and twentieth century publications.

Documented direct paternal descendants of these groupings have been yDNA tested.

### American Colonial Family Lineages Defined

Lineage	Location	Haplogroup SNP	Origin
1 Dymond/Dimond/Diamond	Hudson's River/Beekman Patent, Province of New York	Q-M3	America
2 Dymond/Dimond/Diamond	Beekman Patent, New York Province and possibly Marblehead, Massachusetts Colony	I-M253	England
3 Moses Dimon/Dimond	Pequonnock, Fairfield, and New London, Colony of Connecticut	T-M70	England
4 Thomas Dymont/Dimon/Diman	East Hampton, New York Province and Bristol, Rhode Island	R-M269	England
5 Dimond	Haw River Province of North Carolina	R-M269	Ireland
6 Diamond/Dimond	Province of Pennsylvania	I-M284	North Ireland
7 Dimond	Province of Pennsylvania	R-M222	Ireland

UNDEFINED FAMILY GROUPS

K = JOHN DI(A)MOND OF KITTEERY. HE HAD SONS; JOHN JR, ANDREW, WILLIAM, AND THOMAS.

C = ISRAEL DIMOND OF CONCORD.

LINES K AND C ARE LIKELY RELATED TO ONE OF THE DEFINED LINEAGES ALONG COAST TO THE SOUTH. TO DATE NO DOCUMENTED DESCENDANT FROM THESE LINES HAS BEEN FOUND. RESEARCH HAS SHOWN THAT ANY GIVEN PATERNAL LINE DAUGHTERS OUT WITHIN FIVE GENERATIONS.

#8 = THE DEMING FAMILY THAT IS INCLUDED IN SEVERAL NINETEENTH CENTURY PUBLICATIONS AND WAS CONJECTURED TO HAVE BEEN LINKED TO #5 3, 4, AND 8. THEY WERE THOUGHT TO BE ONE LINEAGE.

TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY YDNA TESTING HAS SHOWN THEY WERE 3 DISTINCTLY DIFFERENT FAMILY LINEAGES.

**Corresponding Family Lineage yDNA signature haplotypes**

	3 9 3	3 9 0	1 9 1	3 9 1	3 8 5 a	3 8 5 b	4 2 6	3 8 8	4 3 9	3 8 9 -	3 9 2 -	3 8 9	4 5 8	4 5 9 a	4 5 9 b	4 5 5	4 5 4	4 4 7	4 3 7	4 4 8	4 4 9	4 6 4 a	4 6 4 b	4 6 4 c	4 6 4 d
<b>1</b>	14	23	13	10	15	18	12	12	13	14	14	31	14	9	9	11	10	26	14	21	31	12	19	19	20
<b>2</b>	13	22	15	10	13	14	11	14	11	12	11	28	15	8	9	8	11	23	16	20	30	12	14	15	16
<b>3</b>	13	23	15	11	14	14	11	12	11	14	13	30	17	9	9	11	13	26	14	19	34	11	13	16	16
<b>4</b>	13	24	13	10	11	14	12	12	12	12	13	28	17	9	9	11	11	25	15	19	31	15	15	16	17
<b>5</b>	13	23	14	11	11	14	12	13	13	13	13	28	16	9	10	11	11	24	15	18	29	15	15	16	17
<b>6</b>	15	24	15	10	15	16	11	13	11	13	12	29	16	8	9	11	11	26	15	20	27	11	12	14	15
<b>7</b>	13	25	14	11	11	13	12	12	12	13	14	29	17	9	11	11	11	25	15	18	28	15	16	16	17
<b>8</b>	13	23	14	11	11	14	12	12	13	13	13	29	16	8	10	11	11	25	15	19	30	14	15	16	17

